

MECHANICAL BEHAVIOUR OF ACRYLONITRILE BUTADIENE STYRENE (ABS) SPECIMEN BY FUSED DEPOSITION MODELLING (FDM)

SATHISH KUMAR A/L SELVAMANI

Master of Science

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

We hereby declare that we have checked this thesis and, in our opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Master of Science in Mechanical Engineering

(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : DR. MAHENDRAN A/L SAMYKANO

Position : SENIOR LECTURER

Date : 10/1/2019

(Co-supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : DR. NGUI WAI KENG

Position : SENIOR LECTURER

Date : 10/1/2019



STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : SATHISH KUMAR A/L SELVAMANI

ID Number : MMM17016

Date : 10/1/2019

MECHANICAL BEHAVIOUR OF ACRYLONITRILE BUTADIENE STYRENE
(ABS) SPECIMEN BY FUSED DEPOSITION MODELLING (FDM)

SATHISH KUMAR A/L SELVAMANI

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the degree of
Master of Science

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

JANUARY 2019

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Firstly, all the praise and gratitude to Almighty God for giving me good health and strength to complete my research successfully. Many people helped me throughout my research journey. No words to describe their contribution and time spent for helping me to complete my research. Sincerely, I would like to thank each one of them and apologies for any mistake or misbehaviour.

I am very grateful to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor Dr. Mahendran Samykano for his germinal ideas, invaluable guidance, continuous encouragement and constant support. He has been my biggest inspiration throughout this research till my research study completed successfully. He never failed to help me whenever I faced problems with my research. He had always provided great ideas with immense knowledge and guidance from the beginning till to the end and also contribution in writing this thesis.

Moreover, I want to thank, Mr. Idris bin Mat Sahat for his guidance, suggestion, comment and co-operation in this project. Besides that, I sincerely want to thank laboratory staff of Mechanical Engineering Department and Manufacturing Engineering Department of UMP, for giving permission to use the testing instruments for my research analysis.

Most importantly, I acknowledge my sincere indebtedness and gratitude to my parents, Mr. V. Selvamani and Madam M. Puttharama for their love, dream and sacrifice throughout my life who give support for me financially and morally. Of course, I would like to acknowledge and sincerely thank all the other souls who have always been there for me, my fellow friends and special one too for encouraging motivationally and giving a lot of moral support throughout my project till it was fully accomplished.

ABSTRAK

“Fused deposition modelling” (FDM) adalah suatu teknologi pemrosesan yang amat penting kerana mempunyai banyak sumbangan dalam pelbagai sektor apabila dikombinasi dengan ABS. Aplikasi ABS dalam FDM boleh dimanfaatkan dalam fabrikasi model, prototaip, acuan dan peralatan. Tambahan pula, ia amat sesuai untuk aplikasi yang memerlukan kekuatan, kemuluran, keboleherjaan dan kestabilan haba. Walaupun begitu, ruang untuk penambahbaikan masih wujud untuk teknologi ini. Kekurangan informasi mengenai pengaruh peratus pengisian dan sudut pengisian di setiap lapisan terhadap ciri-ciri mekanikal produk ABS yang diproses melalui mesin FDM berkos murah adalah isu yang dikenalpasti untuk penyelidikan. Oleh itu tujuan penyelidikan ini ialah untuk menyediakan spesimen kajian dengan memanipulasikan peratus pengisian dan sudut pengisian dengan menggunakan teknik FDM, menyelidik ciri-ciri mekanikal produk ABS dengan melakukan ujian tegangan, ujian pembengkokan, ujian pemampatan dan ujian impak serta mengoptimumkan parameter pemrosesan melalui pendekatan statistik. Oleh itu, penyelidikan ini yang merangkumi pemrosesan spesimen dan ujian tegangan, ujian pembengkokan, ujian pemampatan serta ujian impak terhadap spesimen yang dihasilkan dengan angka parameter yang berbeza. Analisa yang mendalam dikendalikan merangkumi pengajian data eksperimen, kajian statistik, pengesahan dan optimasi parameter pemrosesan melalui “response surface methodology”. Hasil penyelidikan menunjukkan peratus pengisian mempunyai kesan yang linear terhadap semua ciri-ciri mekanikal yang diuji. Manakala sudut pengisian mempunyai hubungan yang berubah dengan setiap ciri mekanikal. Dalam ujian tegangan, untuk modulus elastik dan kekuatan tegangan maksima, 0° mencapai tahap maksima manakala untuk “yield strength (0.2% offset)”, 45° telah mencapai tahap maksima. Untuk ujian pembengkokan, 0° telah mencapai tahap maksima untuk kesemua ciri-ciri ujian pembengkokan. Manakala untuk ujian pemampatan, 90° telah mencapai tahap maksima untuk setiap cirinya. Akhir sekali, untuk ujian impak, 45° telah mencapai tahap maksima untuk kesemua cirinya. Tambahan pula, pengoptimuman yang dijalankan mencadangkan parameter yang sesuai untuk setiap ujian yang dapat menghasilkan tahap maksima secara kolektif atau individu. Parameter untuk ciri-ciri ketegangan dan ciri-ciri pemampatan yang optimum ialah 90° sudut and 99% kepadatan. Manakala, parameter optimum untuk ciri-ciri pembengkokan ialah 0° sudut dan 99% kepadatan. Akhir sekali, parameter optimum untuk ciri-ciri impak ialah 52.27° sudut dan 99% kepadatan. Hasil daripada penyelidikan ini, didapati bahawa peratus pengisian mempunyai hubungan linear dan sudut pengisian di setiap lapisan mempunyai kesan yang berbeza terhadap ciri-ciri mekanikal spesimen yang diuji dan ini dibuktikan melalui pengesahan data eksperimental melalui kajian statistik. Sebagai cadangan untuk masa depan, parameter seperti ketebalan lapisan, ruang udara dan kelebaran penurapan boleh disertakan untuk kaji dengan lebih mendalam mengenai kesan parameter terhadap ciri-ciri mekanikal spesimen ABS yang diproses melalui teknik FDM.

ABSTRACT

Fused deposition modelling (FDM) is a prominent additive manufacturing technology that has various impactful contribution in numerous sectors when associated with ABS. Applications of ABS in FDM can be benefited in fabrication of models, prototypes, patterns and tools. Adding to that, it is very suitable for applications where strength, ductility, machinability and thermal stability are required. Simultaneously, there is still room for improvement to overcome the downsides found in this technology. Lack of information on the influence of infill percentage and raster angle combination, in the wide mechanical properties of ABS based low cost FDM machine specimens is one of the identified research gap. Thus, to coincide with the research gap, three objectives were set. The objectives were to develop ABS testing specimens by varying its' infill percentage and raster angle using FDM technique, to investigate the prepared ABS specimens' mechanical properties by performing tensile test, compression test, bending test and impact test and to develop an optimized printing parameter combination using statistical analysis. Hence, this research work comprises of printing test specimens using specific standards according to test conducted and implementation of mechanical characterization which includes tensile test, bending test, compression test and impact test of specimens printed with altered infill percentage and raster angle which were the selected varying printing parameters. Thorough analysis comprising experimental data evaluation, statistical evaluation and optimizations using response surface methodology were carried out to study in detail the effect of the selected printing parameters on the mechanical property of the ABS-based specimens. Outcome of mechanical test shows, the infill percentage demonstrates significant effect on all the mechanical properties tested. For instance, higher the infill percentage, the higher the value of the properties. Meanwhile raster angle has varying effects with properties tested. For tensile test, highest elastic modulus and ultimate tensile strength achieved with raster angle of 0° whereas highest yield strength (0.2% offset) was achieved with raster angle of 45° . For bending test, raster angle of 0° shows that it has important effect on the flexural properties since the highest value for properties were achieved at this raster angle. For compression test, the highest value for both compression strength and compression modulus were achieved when it is at 90° raster angle. For impact test, raster angle of 45° has the highest effect on the impact properties since the impact properties with this 45° raster angle achieved the highest value. Furthermore, completed optimization suggests the parameter for each test that would result in overall optimum mechanical properties and optimum individual mechanical properties. Parameters for optimum tensile properties and compression properties are 90° raster angle and 99% infill percentage. Meanwhile, parameter for optimum bending properties are 0° raster angle and 99% infill percentage. Finally, parameter for optimum impact properties are 52.27° raster angle and 99% infill percentage. Overall results of this research show that infill percentage has linear relationship with all the mechanical properties whereas raster angle has varying effect on the mechanical property of the specimens and it was proved by the validation of the experimental data using statistical evaluations. As a recommendation, parameters such as layer thickness, air gap and contour width also can be varied with infill percentage and raster angle to identify detailed effect of printing parameters on the mechanical property of printed specimens for the future improvement of the strength of the ABS based FDM specimens or products.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION

TITLE PAGE

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS **ii**

ABSTRAK **iii**

ABSTRACT **iv**

TABLE OF CONTENT **v**

LIST OF TABLES **ix**

LIST OF FIGURES **xi**

LIST OF SYMBOLS **xiv**

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS **xv**

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION **1**

1.1 Introduction 1

1.2 Project Background 1

1.3 Problem Statement 4

1.4 Objectives 5

1.5 Project Scope 5

1.6 Summary 6

CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW **7**

2.1 Introduction 7

2.2 Additive Manufacturing and Significance of FDM in Additive Manufacturing 7

2.3 Fused Deposition Modelling Processes 8

2.4	Acrylonitrile Butadiene Styrene (ABS) and FDM	10
2.5	Applications of Additive Manufacturing	11
2.6	Fused Deposition Modelling Parameters	12
2.7	Mechanical Testing of FDM Processed Parts	13
2.7.1	Tensile Test	14
2.7.2	Bending Test	16
2.7.3	Compression Test	16
2.7.4	Fatigue Test	17
2.7.5	Impact Test	17
2.8	Previous Researchers' Result	18
2.9	Summary	27
CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY		28
3.1	Introduction	28
3.2	Flow Chart	28
3.3	Selection of the 3D Printer	30
3.4	Calibration of 3D Printer	32
3.5	Material Selection	32
3.6	Validation and Verifications of Printing Process	33
3.7	Experimental Conduct	33
3.7.1	Printing Process of the Standard Specimen	34
3.7.2	Machine Parameters	36
3.7.3	Design of Experiment	37
3.7.4	Tensile Test Procedure	39
3.7.5	Bending Test Procedure	41
3.7.6	Compression Test Procedure	42

3.7.7	Impact Test Procedures	43
3.8	Summary	45
CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		46
4.1	Introduction	46
4.2	Tensile Test Result	46
4.2.1	Elastic Modulus	48
4.2.2	Ultimate Tensile Strength	48
4.2.3	Yield Strength (0.2% Offset)	49
4.3	Bending Test Result	51
4.3.1	Flexural Strength	52
4.3.2	Flexural Modulus	53
4.4	Compression Test Results	54
4.4.1	Compression Strength	55
4.4.2	Compression Modulus	56
4.5	Impact Test Result	57
4.5.1	Energy Absorbed	58
4.5.2	Impact Energy	59
4.6	Overall Deduction	60
4.7	Design of Experiment Analysis Using Response Surface Methodology	63
4.7.1	Tensile Test Results	63
4.7.2	Bending Test Results	76
4.7.3	Compression Test Results	84
4.7.4	Impact Test Result	93
4.8	Summary	101
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION		102

5.1	Conclusion	102
5.2	Recommendations	104
	REFERENCES	105

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	ASTM D-638 Type I tensile test specimen dimensions labeled in Figure 2.5 and tolerances	15
Table 2.2	Characterizing techniques of ABS based specimens and their respective results	19
Table 3.1	Specification of WANHAO Duplicator i3 Desktop 3D Printer	31
Table 3.2	Printing parameters that were kept constant and their values	36
Table 3.3	Overall numbers of specimen to be printed according to parameters and mechanical test	38
Table 3.4	Parameters' values of each specimen according to design of experiment	38
Table 3.5	Dimensions of the Type 1 specimen geometry according to ASTM D638 standard	39
Table 4.1	Average tensile properties and their respective standard deviations	47
Table 4.2	Average bending properties and their respective standard deviations	52
Table 4.3	Average compression properties and their respective standard deviations	55
Table 4.4	Average impact properties and their respective standard deviations	58
Table 4.5	ANOVA (estimated regression coefficients) for elastic modulus	63
Table 4.6	ANOVA Table for elastic modulus	64
Table 4.7	Comparative test between experimental and predicted value of elastic modulus	65
Table 4.8	ANOVA (estimated regression coefficients) for ultimate tensile strength	67
Table 4.9	ANOVA Table for ultimate tensile strength	68
Table 4.10	Comparative test between experimental and predicted value of ultimate tensile strength	69
Table 4.11	ANOVA (estimated regression coefficients) for yield strength (0.2% offset)	71
Table 4.12	ANOVA Table for yield strength (0.2% offset)	72
Table 4.13	Comparative test between experimental and predicted value of yield strength (0.2% offset)	73
Table 4.14	Comparative test between experimental and predicted value of overall optimized properties of specimen with 90 ° raster angle and 99 % infill percentage	75
Table 4.15	ANOVA (estimated regression coefficients) for flexural strength	76
Table 4.16	ANOVA Table for flexural strength	77

Table 4.17	Comparative test between experimental and predicted value of flexural strength	78
Table 4.18	ANOVA (estimated regression coefficients) for flexural modulus	80
Table 4.19	ANOVA Table for flexural modulus	81
Table 4.20	Comparative test between experimental and predicted value of flexural modulus	82
Table 4.21	Comparative test between experimental and predicted value of overall optimized properties of specimen with 0 ° raster angle and 99 % infill percentage	84
Table 4.22	ANOVA (estimated regression coefficients) for compression strength	85
Table 4.23	ANOVA Table for compression strength	85
Table 4.24	Comparative test between experimental and predicted value of compression strength	86
Table 4.25	ANOVA (estimated regression coefficients) for compression modulus	88
Table 4.26	ANOVA Table for compression modulus	89
Table 4.27	Comparative test between experimental and predicted value of compression modulus	90
Table 4.28	Comparative test between experimental and predicted value of overall optimized properties of specimen with 90 ° raster angle and 99 % infill percentage	93
Table 4.29	ANOVA (estimated regression coefficients) for energy absorbed	93
Table 4.30	ANOVA Table for energy absorbed	94
Table 4.31	Comparative test between experimental and predicted value of energy absorbed	95
Table 4.32	ANOVA (estimated regression coefficients) for impact energy	97
Table 4.33	ANOVA Table for impact energy	98
Table 4.34	Comparative test between experimental and predicted value of impact energy	99
Table 4.35	Comparative test between experimental and predicted value of overall optimized properties of specimen with 52.27 ° raster angle and 99 % infill percentage	101

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1:	Key step of overall FDM process	10
Figure 2.2	Raster angle parameter Source: Ahn et al. (2002)	13
Figure 2.3	Raster width parameter Source: Ahn et al. (2002)	13
Figure 2.4	Air gap application Source: Ahn et al. (2002)	13
Figure 2.5	ASTM D-638 Type I tensile test specimen Source: Astm (2003)	15
Figure 2.6	a) Fracture location of ASTM D638 specimen with default radius of 76mm b) Fracture location of modified ASTM D638 specimen with default radius of 244mm Source: Croccolo et al. (2013)	16
Figure 2.7	Specimen geometries associated with ASTM D695	17
Figure 2.8	Geometry and dimension of the Izod test specimen according to ASTM Source: D256 (2014)	18
Figure 3.1	Project flow chart	29
Figure 3.2	Mechanism of the direct approach extruder	30
Figure 3.3	Melzi board used as control board of the selected 3D printer	31
Figure 3.4	WANHAO Duplicator i3 Desktop 3D Printer	32
Figure 3.5	Printing process of the standard specimens for mechanical tests	35
Figure 3.6	Repetier Host software used for slicing the objects to be printed	35
Figure 3.7	Printing parameters set using the Slic3r and g-code is generated	35
Figure 3.8	Type 1 specimen geometry according to ASTM D638 standard	39
Figure 3.9	Fully printed tensile test specimen	40
Figure 3.10	Fully printed bending test specimen	41
Figure 3.11	Fully printed compression test specimen	43
Figure 3.12	Fully printed impact test specimen	44
Figure 4.1	Stress vs strain curve of single specimen with 10% infill percentage and 0° raster angle	47
Figure 4.2	Bar chart of elastic modulus against raster angle	48
Figure 4.3	Bar chart of ultimate tensile strength against raster angle	49
Figure 4.4	Bar chart of yield strength (0.2% offset) against raster angle	50
Figure 4.5	Stress vs strain curve of four out of five specimens with 10% infill percentage and 45° raster angle	51
Figure 4.6	Bar chart of flexural strength against raster angle	53
Figure 4.7	Bar chart of flexural modulus against raster angle	54
Figure 4.8	Stress vs strain curve of four out of five specimens with 10% infill percentage and 0° raster angle	55
Figure 4.9	Bar chart of compression strength against raster angle	56

Figure 4.10	Bar chart of compression modulus against raster angle	57
Figure 4.11	Bar chart of energy absorbed against raster angle	59
Figure 4.12	Bar chart of impact energy against raster angle	60
Figure 4.13	Pareto chart of elastic modulus	64
Figure 4.14	Comparison of experimental and predicted data of elastic modulus	65
Figure 4.15	Contour plot of elastic modulus against raster angle and infill percentage	66
Figure 4.16	Pareto chart for ultimate tensile strength	67
Figure 4.17	Comparison of experimental and predicted data of ultimate tensile strength	69
Figure 4.18	Contour plot of ultimate tensile strength against raster angle and infill percentage	70
Figure 4.19	Pareto chart for yield strength (0.2% offset)	71
Figure 4.20	Comparison of experimental and predicted data of yield strength (0.2% offset)	73
Figure 4.21	Contour plot of yield strength (0.2% offset) against raster angle and infill percentage	74
Figure 4.22	Pareto chart for flexural strength	76
Figure 4.23	Comparison of experimental and predicted data of flexural strength	78
Figure 4.24	Contour plot of flexural strength against raster angle and infill percentage	79
Figure 4.25	Pareto chart for flexural modulus	80
Figure 4.26	Comparison of experimental and predicted data of flexural modulus	82
Figure 4.27	Contour plot of flexural modulus against raster angle and infill percentage	83
Figure 4.28	Pareto chart for compression strength	85
Figure 4.29	Comparison of experimental and predicted data of compression strength	87
Figure 4.30	Contour plot of compression strength against raster angle and infill percentage	87
Figure 4.31	Pareto chart for compression modulus	89
Figure 4.32	Comparison of experimental and predicted data of compression modulus	91
Figure 4.33	Contour plot of compression modulus against raster angle and infill percentage	91
Figure 4.34	Pareto chart of energy absorbed	94
Figure 4.35	Comparison of experimental and predicted data of energy absorbed	95
Figure 4.36	Contour plot of energy absorbed against raster angle and infill percentage	96

Figure 4.37	Pareto chart for impact energy	97
Figure 4.38	Comparison of experimental and predicted data of impact energy	99
Figure 4.39	Contour plot of impact energy against raster angle and infill percentage	100

LIST OF SYMBOLS

W_c	Width of gage
W_o	Width overall
L_o	Length overall
α	Alpha value

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
RNA	Ribonucleic acid
PLA	Polylactic acid
3D	3-Dimensional
FDM	Fused deposition modelling
ABS	Acrylonitrile butadiene styrene
SAN	Styrene and acrylonitrile copolymer
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
AM	Additive manufacturing
STL	Stereolithography
USB	Universal Serial Bus
SD	Secure Digital
WIFI	Wireless Fidelity
MOR	Modulus of Rupture
SLA	Stereolithography
SLM	Selective laser melting
SLS	Selective laser sintering
DLP	Digital light processing
EBM	Electron beam melting
LOM	Laminated object manufacturing
UTS	Ultimate tensile strength
AVE	Average
Coef	Coefficient
SE coef	Standard errors on estimation of the coefficients
DF	Degree of freedom
Adj SS	Adjusted sum of square
Adj MS	Adjusted mean of square

REFERENCES

- A Equbal, A. K. S., V Toppo, R K Ohdar, S S Mahapatra. (2010). Prediction and analysis of sliding wear performance of fused deposition modelling-processed ABS plastic parts. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part J: Journal of Engineering Tribology*, 224(12), 1261-1271. doi:doi.org/10.1243/13506501JET835.
- A.W. Fatimatuzahraa, B. F., W.A.Y Yusoff. (2011). The effect of employing different raster orientations on the mechanical properties and microstructure of Fused Deposition Modeling parts. *IEEE Symposium on Humanities, Science and Engineering Research*. doi:10.1109/ISBEIA.2011.6088811.
- Abbott, A., Tandon, G., Bradford, R., Koerner, H., & Baur, J. (2018). Process-structure-property effects on ABS bond strength in fused filament fabrication. *Additive Manufacturing*, 19, 29-38.
- Adhiyamaan Arivazhagan, S. H. M. (2012). Dynamic Mechanical Properties of ABS Material Processed by Fused Deposition Modelling. *International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications (IJERA)*, 2(3), 2009-2014.
- Ahn, S.-H. (2002). Anisotropic material properties of fused deposition modeling ABS. *Rapid Prototyping, Volume 8*(4), 248–257. doi:10.1108/13552540210441166.
- Ahn, S.-H., Montero, M., Odell, D., Roundy, S., & Wright, P. K. (2002). Anisotropic material properties of fused deposition modeling ABS. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 8(4), 248-257.
- Anitha, R., Arunachalam, S., & Radhakrishnan, P. (2001). Critical parameters influencing the quality of prototypes in fused deposition modelling. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 118(1-3), 385-388. doi:Doi 10.1016/S0924-0136(01)00980-3.
- Astm, D. (2003). 638 Standard Test Method for Tensile Properties of Plastics. *ASTM, West Conshohocken, PA*.
- Bak, D. (2003). Rapid prototyping or rapid production? 3D printing processes move industry towards the latter. *Assembly Automation*, 23(4), 340-345.
- Balderrama-Armendariz, C. O., MacDonald, E., Espalin, D., Cortes-Saenz, D., Wicker, R., & Maldonado-Macias, A. (2018). Torsion analysis of the anisotropic behavior of FDM technology. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 1-11.
- Belter, J. T. (2015). Strengthening of 3D Printed Fused Deposition Manufactured Parts Using the Fill Compositing Technique. *PLOS ONE*, 10(4). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0122915.

- Beniak, J., Križan, P., Šooš, L., & Matúš, M. (2018). Roughness and compressive strength of FDM 3D printed specimens affected by acetone vapour treatment. *Paper presented at the IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*.
- Bernard, A., & Fischer, A. (2002). New trends in rapid product development. *CIRP Annals-Manufacturing Technology*, 51(2), 635-652.
- Biron, M. (2003). Thermosets and composites: *Elsevier*.
- Bullock, R. (1974). Strength ratios of composite materials in flexure and in tension. *Journal of Composite Materials*, 8(2), 200-206.
- Campo, E. A. (2008). Selection of polymeric materials: how to select design properties from different standards: *William Andrew*.
- Cantrell, J. T., Rohde, S., Damiani, D., Gurnani, R., DiSandro, L., Anton, J., . . . Kroese, C. (2017). Experimental characterization of the mechanical properties of 3D-printed ABS and polycarbonate parts. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 23(4), 811-824.
- Chou, Y. Z. a. K. (2008). A parametric study of part distortions in fused deposition modelling using three-dimensional finite element analysis. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part B: Journal of Engineering Manufacture*, 222(8), 959-968. doi:doi.org/10.1243/09544054JEM990.
- Croccolo, D., De Agostinis, M., & Olmi, G. (2013). Experimental characterization and analytical modelling of the mechanical behaviour of fused deposition processed parts made of ABS-M30. *Computational Materials Science*, 79, 506-518.
- D256, A. (2014). Standard test methods for determining the izod pendulum impact resistance of plastics. *Annual book of ASTM Standard*, 08(01), pp 5–25.
- D'Auria, M., Otter, W. J., Hazell, J., Gillatt, B. T., Long-Collins, C., Ridler, N. M., & Lucyszyn, S. (2015). 3-D printed metal-pipe rectangular waveguides. *IEEE Transactions on Components, Packaging and Manufacturing Technology*, 5(9), 1339-1349.
- Dakshinamurthy, D., & Gupta, S. (2018). A study on the influence of process parameters on the viscoelastic properties of ABS components manufactured by FDM process. *Journal of The Institution of Engineers (India): Series C*, 99(2), 133-138.
- Domínguez-Rodríguez, G., Ku-Herrera, J., & Hernández-Pérez, A. (2018). An assessment of the effect of printing orientation, density, and filler pattern on the compressive performance of 3D printed ABS structures by fuse deposition. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 95(5-8), 1685-1695.
- Es-Said, O., Foyos, J., Noorani, R., Mendelson, M., Marloth, R., & Pregger, B. (2000). Effect of layer orientation on mechanical properties of rapid prototyped samples. *Materials and Manufacturing Processes*, 15(1), 107-122.

- Es-Said, O. S. (2000). Effect of Layer Orientation on Mechanical Properties of Rapid Prototyped Samples. *Materials and Manufacturing Processes*, Vol. 15(No.1), 107-122. doi:dx.doi.org/10.1080/10426910008912976
- Esteban, C. H., & Schmitt, F. (2004). Silhouette and stereo fusion for 3D object modeling. *Computer Vision and Image Understanding*, 96(3), 367-392.
- Fines, R., & Bartolomucci, J. (1988). Engineered Materials Handbook, Vol. 2: Engineering Plastics. *ASM International, Metals Park, OH*, 156-158.
- Fischer, F. (2011). Thermoplastics: the best choice for 3D printing. *White Paper, Stratasys Inc., Edn Prairie, MN*.
- Fuda Ning , W. C., Jingjing Qiu , Junhua Wei , Shiren Wang. (2015). Additive manufacturing of carbon fiber reinforced thermoplastic composites using fused deposition modeling. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 80, 369-378. doi:doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2015.06.013
- Gianluca Percoco, F. L. a. L. M. G. (2012). Compressive Properties of FDM Rapid Prototypes Treated with a Low Cost Chemical Finishing. *Research Journal of Applied Sciences, Engineering and Technology*, 4(19), 3838-3842.
- Gibson, I., Rosen, D. W., & Stucker, B. (2010). *Additive manufacturing technologies* (Vol. 238): Springer.
- Górski F., W. R., Kuczko W., Zawadzki P. Buń P. (2015). Strength of ABS Parts Produced by Fused Deposition Modelling Technology – A Critical Orientation Problem. *Advances in Science and Technology*, 9(26), 12-19. doi:10.12913/22998624/2359
- Hernandez, K. V. W. a. A. (2012). A Review of Additive Manufacturing. *ISRN Mechanical Engineering*, 2012. doi:10.5402/2012/208760
- Hong, S., Sanchez, C., Du, H., & Kim, N. (2015). Fabrication of 3D printed metal structures by use of high-viscosity cu paste and a screw extruder. *Journal of Electronic Materials*, 44(3), 836-841.
- Hwang, S., Reyes, E. I., Moon, K.-s., Rumpf, R. C., & Kim, N. S. (2015). Thermo-mechanical characterization of metal/polymer composite filaments and printing parameter study for fused deposition modeling in the 3D printing process. *Journal of Electronic Materials*, 44(3), 771-777.
- Jaret C. Riddick, M. A. H., Ray Von Wahlde, Daniel P. Cole, Oluwakayode Bamiduro, Terrence E. Johnson. (2016). Fractographic analysis of tensile failure of acrylonitrile-butadiene-styrene fabricated by fused deposition modeling. *Additive Manufacturing*, 11, 49–59. doi:doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2016.03.007
- Jerabek, M., Major, Z., & Lang, R. (2010). Uniaxial compression testing of polymeric materials. *Polymer testing*, 29(3), 302-309.

- Jo, W., Kwon, O.-C., & Moon, M.-W. (2018). Investigation of influence of heat treatment on mechanical strength of FDM printed 3D objects. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 24(3), 637-644.
- Jones, D. R. H., & Ashby, M. F. (2005). *Engineering materials 2: an introduction to microstructures, processing and design*: Butterworth-Heinemann.
- Keleş, Ö., Blevins, C. W., & Bowman, K. J. (2017). Effect of build orientation on the mechanical reliability of 3D printed ABS. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 23(2), 320-328.
- Ker Chin Ang, K. F. L., Chee Kai Chua. (2006). Investigation of the mechanical properties and porosity relationships in fused deposition modelling-fabricated porous structures. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 12(2). doi:doi.org/10.1108/13552540610652447
- Khanam, P. N., Al-Maadeed, M., & Khanam, P. N. (2015). Silk as a reinforcement in polymer matrix composites *Advances in Silk Science and Technology* (pp. 143-170): Elsevier.
- Krimi, I. (2017). Prospective study on the integration of additive manufacturing to building industry—Case of a French construction company. *Additive Manufacturing, Volume 16*, 107-114. doi:doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2017.04.002
- Kulich, D., Gaggar, S., Lowry, V., & Stepien, R. (2002). *Acrylonitrile–butadiene–styrene polymers*: Wiley Online Library.
- Lee, B., Abdullah, J., & Khan, Z. (2005). Optimization of rapid prototyping parameters for production of flexible ABS object. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 169(1), 54-61.
- Lee, J., & Huang, A. (2013). Fatigue analysis of FDM materials. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 19(4), 291-299.
- Leite, M., Varanda, A., Ribeiro, A. R., Silva, A., & Vaz, M. F. (2018). Mechanical properties and water absorption of surface modified ABS 3D printed by fused deposition modelling. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 24(1), 195-203.
- Letcher, T., Rankouhi, B., & Javadpour, S. (2015). *Experimental Study of Mechanical Properties of Additively Manufactured ABS Plastic as a Function of Layer Parameters*. Paper presented at the ASME 2015 International Mechanical Engineering Congress and Exposition.
- Matias, E., & Rao, B. (2015). *3D printing: On its historical evolution and the implications for business*. Paper presented at the Management of Engineering and Technology (PICMET), 2015 Portland International Conference on.

- Messimer, S. L., Patterson, A. E., Muna, N., Deshpande, A. P., & Rocha Pereira, T. (2018). Characterization and Processing Behavior of Heated Aluminum-Polycarbonate Composite Build Plates for the FDM Additive Manufacturing Process. *Journal of Manufacturing and Materials Processing*, 2(1), 12.
- Minetola, P., Iuliano, L., & Marchiandi, G. (2016). Benchmarking of FDM machines through part quality using IT grades. *Procedia Cirp*, 41, 1027-1032.
- Montenegro, M. A., Boiero, M. L., Valle, L., & Borsarelli, C. D. (2012). Gum Arabic: more than an edible emulsifier *Products and applications of biopolymers*: InTech.
- Mueller, B. (2012). Additive manufacturing technologies—rapid prototyping to direct digital manufacturing. *Assembly Automation*, 32(2).
- NatalieRudolph, C. L. H. (2017). Investigation of mechanical anisotropy of the fused filament fabrication process via customized tool path generation. *Additive Manufacturing*, 16, 138-145. doi:doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2017.06.003
- Nur Saaidah Abu Bakar, M. R. A., Hambali Boejang. (2010). Analysis on fused deposition modelling performance *Journal of Zhejiang University-SCIENCE A*, 11(12), 972-977. doi:10.1631/jzus.A1001365
- Onwubolu, G. C. (2014). Characterization and Optimization of Mechanical Properties of ABS Parts Manufactured by the Fused Deposition Modelling Process. *International Journal of Manufacturing Engineering, Volume 2014*. doi:dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/598531
- Onwubolu, G. C., & Rayegani, F. (2014). Characterization and Optimization of Mechanical Properties of ABS Parts Manufactured by the Fused Deposition Modelling Process. *International Journal of Manufacturing Engineering*, 2014.
- P.J. Nuñeza, A. R., E. García-Plazaa, E. Beamudb, A. Sanz-Loberac (2015). Dimensional and surface texture characterization in Fused Deposition Modelling (FDM) with ABS plus. *Procedia Engineering*, 132(2015), 856-863. doi:doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2015.12.570
- Perez, A. R. T. (2014). Fracture Surface Analysis of 3D-Printed Tensile Specimens of Novel ABS-Based Materials. *ASM International 2014*(14), 343–353. doi:10.1007/s11668-014-9803-9343–353
- Perez, A. R. T., Roberson, D. A., & Wicker, R. B. (2014). Fracture surface analysis of 3D-printed tensile specimens of novel ABS-based materials. *Journal of Failure Analysis and Prevention*, 14(3), 343-353.
- Petroudy, S. D. (2017). Physical and mechanical properties of natural fibers *Advanced High Strength Natural Fibre Composites in Construction* (pp. 59-83): Elsevier.
- Prajapati, H., Ravoori, D., Woods, R. L., & Jain, A. (2018). Measurement of anisotropic thermal conductivity and inter-layer thermal contact resistance in polymer fused deposition modeling (FDM). *Additive Manufacturing*, 21, 84-90.

- Q. Sun, G. M. R., C.T. Bellehumeur and P. Gu. (2008). Effect of processing conditions on the bonding quality of FDM polymer filaments. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 14(2), 72-80. doi:doi.org/10.1108/13552540810862028
- Quan, Z., Suhr, J., Yu, J., Qin, X., Cotton, C., Mirotznik, M., & Chou, T.-W. (2018). Printing direction dependence of mechanical behavior of additively manufactured 3D preforms and composites. *Composite Structures*, 184, 917-923.
- Quintana, R., Choi, J.-W., Puebla, K., & Wicker, R. (2010). Effects of build orientation on tensile strength for stereolithography-manufactured ASTM D-638 type I specimens. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 46(1-4), 201-215.
- Rankouhi, B., Javadpour, S., Delfanian, F., & Letcher, T. (2016). Failure Analysis and Mechanical Characterization of 3D Printed ABS With Respect to Layer Thickness and Orientation. *Journal of Failure Analysis and Prevention*, 16(3), 467-481.
- Regalla, G. P. K. a. S. P. (2012). Optimization Of Support Material And Build Time In Fused Deposition Modeling (Fdm) *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 110-116 2245-2251. doi:10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMM.110-116.2245
- Rengier, F. (2010). 3D printing based on imaging data: review of medical applications. *International Journal of Computer Assisted Radiology and Surgery*, Volume 5(Issue 4), 335–341. doi:10.1007/s11548-010-0476-x
- Samir Kumar Panda, S. P., Anoop Kumar Sood, S. S. Mahapatra. (2009). Optimization of Fused Deposition Modelling (FDM) Process Parameters Using Bacterial Foraging Technique. *Intelligent Information Management*, 2009(1), 89-97. doi:10.4236/iim.2009.12014
- Seidl, M., Safka, J., Bobek, J., Behalek, L., & Habr, J. (2017). Mechanical properties of products made of abs with respect to individuality of fdm production processes. *Modern Machinery Science Journal*, (2), 1748-1751.
- Smith, W. C., & Dean, R. W. (2013). Structural characteristics of fused deposition modeling polycarbonate material. *Polymer Testing*, 32(8), 1306-1312.
- Sood, A. K., Ohdar, R., & Mahapatra, S. (2010). Parametric appraisal of mechanical property of fused deposition modelling processed parts. *Materials & Design*, 31(1), 287-295.
- Standard, A. (2010). D790 (2010) Standard test methods for flexural properties of unreinforced and reinforced plastics and electrical insulating materials. *ASTM International*, West Conshohocken.
- Sun, J. (2015). A Review on 3D Printing for Customized Food Fabrication. *Procedia Manufacturing*, Volume 1, 308-319. doi:doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2015.09.057

- Sung Hoon Ahn, C. B., Sunyoung Lee, In Shup Ahn. (2003). Anisotropic Tensile Failure Model of Rapid Prototyping Parts - Fused Deposition Modeling (FDM). *International Journal of Modern Physics B*, 17(08n09), 1510-1516 doi:doi.org/10.1142/S0217979203019241
- Tai, C., Li, R. K., & Ng, C. (2000). Impact behaviour of polypropylene/polyethylene blends. *Polymer testing*, 19(2), 143-154.
- Torrado, A. R., & Roberson, D. A. (2016). Failure analysis and anisotropy evaluation of 3D-printed tensile test specimens of different geometries and print raster patterns. *Journal of Failure Analysis and Prevention*, 16(1), 154-164.
- Torrado, A. R., Shemelya, C. M., English, J. D., Lin, Y., Wicker, R. B., & Roberson, D. A. (2015). Characterizing the effect of additives to ABS on the mechanical property anisotropy of specimens fabricated by material extrusion 3D printing. *Additive Manufacturing*, 6, 16-29. doi:doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2015.02.001
- Toshiro, K., Isamu, Y., & Mitsuo, N. (1986). Evaluation of dynamic fracture toughness parameters by instrumented Charpy impact test. *Engineering Fracture Mechanics*, 24(5), 773-782.
- Uddin, M., Sidek, M., Faizal, M., Ghomashchi, R., & Pramanik, A. (2017). Evaluating Mechanical Properties and Failure Mechanisms of Fused Deposition Modeling Acrylonitrile Butadiene Styrene Parts. *Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering*, 139(8), 081018.
- Vaezi, M. (2012). A review on 3D micro-additive manufacturing technologies. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, Volume 67(Issue 5–8), 1721–1754. doi: 10.1007/s00170-012-4605-2
- Wisnom, M. R. (1992). The relationship between tensile and flexural strength of unidirectional composites. *Journal of Composite Materials*, 26(8), 1173-1180.
- Wohlers, T. (2014). 3D printing and additive manufacturing state of the industry. Annual Worldwide Progress Report. *Wohlers Report*.
- Zhang, H., Cai, L., Golub, M., Zhang, Y., Yang, X., Schlarman, K., & Zhang, J. (2018). Tensile, creep, and fatigue behaviors of 3D-printed acrylonitrile butadiene styrene. *Journal of materials engineering and performance*, 27(1), 57-62.
- Ziemian, C., Sharma, M., & Ziemian, S. (2012). *Anisotropic mechanical properties of ABS parts fabricated by fused deposition modelling*: INTECH Open Access Publisher.